

[Home](#) [About CBP](#) [Contacts](#) [Ports](#) [Questions](#) [Forms](#) [Publications](#) [Legal](#) [Contracting](#) [Sitemap](#)
[Newsroom](#)[Border Security](#)[Import](#)[Export](#)[Travel](#)[Careers](#)

Import

[Antidumping and Countervailing Duties \(ADCVD\)](#)[Broker Management](#)[Cargo Control](#)[Cargo Summary](#)[Carriers](#)[Commercial Enforcement](#)[Communications to Trade](#)[Duty Rates/HTS](#)[Informed Compliance](#)[Infrequent Importer/Traveler](#)[International Agreements](#)[Operations Support](#)[Regulatory Audit](#)[Textiles and Quotas](#)[Trade Initiatives](#)
 Report
 Suspicious Activity to
1-800-BE-ALERT
[Home](#) / [Import](#) / [Regulatory Audit](#) / [Focused Assessment Program \(FAP\)](#) /

Focused Assessment Program (FAP) - Documents

RSS

(October 2003 version)
 (Exhibit 6A revised - October 2004)
 (Exhibit 3J revised - September 2005)


Focused Assessment Program (FAP) Documents are used by CBP regulatory auditors when conducting focused assessments. While these documents contain the audit program, sampling methodology and technical information for pre-assessment survey, they also provide guidance for importers.

The FAP documents can be viewed and downloaded in either WORD or PDF format.

- [FAP Documents Download Entire File](#)

- 10/31/2005

Warning: This is a large PDF file. You may wish to right-click on the link and save it to your desktop before opening.

 pdf - 3,493 KB.



- [Exhibit 1 - Introduction](#)

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 33 KB.  pdf - 35 KB.

- [Exhibit 2A - Internal Control Questionnaire for Focused Assessments](#)

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 38 KB.  pdf - 49 KB.


- [Exhibit 2B - Electronic Data Processing \(EDP\) Questionnaire for Focused Assessments](#)

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 24 KB.  pdf - 23 KB.



- [Exhibit 2C - Pre-Assessment Survey Audit Program](#)

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 338 KB.  pdf - 84 KB.


- [Exhibit 2D - Assessment Compliance Testing Audit Program](#)

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 468 KB.  pdf - 222 KB.

- [Exhibit 2E - Focused Assessment Follow-up Audit Program](#)

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 243 KB.  pdf - 27 KB.

- [Exhibit 3A - Guidance for Using Risk Exposure to Determine Review Areas](#)

- 10/01/2003

 Search *Focused Assessment Program (FAP) - Documents* for:

GO

 section sitemap for
Focused Assessment Program (FAP)
 - Documents

see also:

in [Focused Assessment Program \(FAP\)](#):

[Quick Response Audits](#)
[Focused Assessment Program \(FAP\)- Overview](#)
 (ppt - 299 KB.) (pdf - 106 KB.)
[Focused Assessment Program \(FAP\) - Points of Contact](#)[FAP Online Question Submission Form](#)

Accessed 9/25/2007

 doc - 34 KB.  pdf - 56 KB.



- **Exhibit 3B - Consideration of Internal Control in a Customs Compliance Audit**
 - 10/01/2003
 -  doc - 86 KB.  pdf - 142 KB.
- **Exhibit 3C - Internal Control Summary by Component**
 - 10/01/2003
 -  doc - 26 KB.  pdf - 32 KB.
- **Exhibit 3D - Internal Control Management and Evaluation Tool**
 - 10/01/2003
 -  doc - 92 KB.  pdf - 58 KB.
- **Exhibit 3E - Guidance for the Internal Control Interviewing Process**
 - 10/01/2003
 -  doc - 24 KB.  pdf - 33 KB.
- **Exhibit 3F - Risk Opinion under Focused Assessments**
 - 10/01/2003
 -  doc - 60 KB.  pdf - 74 KB.
- **Exhibit 3G - Timely Completion and Resolution of Issues of Focused Assessments**
 - 10/01/2003
 -  doc - 26 KB.  pdf - 35 KB.
- **Exhibit 3H - Resolving "Gray Areas" of Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) Classification**
 - 10/01/2003
 -  doc - 24 KB.  pdf - 24 KB.
- **Exhibit 3I - Errors Disclosed to Customs**
 - 10/01/2003
 -  doc - 22 KB.  pdf - 19 KB.
- **Exhibit 3J - Treatment of Ultimate Consignee Transactions in a Focused Assessment**
 - 10/31/2005
 -  doc - 30 KB.  pdf - 12 KB.
- **Exhibit 4A - Example of Internal Control Manual**
 - 10/01/2003
 -  doc - 304 KB.  pdf - 493 KB.
- **Exhibit 4B - Common Importer Errors Identified During Assessments and Audits**
 - 10/01/2003
 -  doc - 31 KB.  pdf - 51 KB.
- **Exhibit 4C - Prior Disclosures During a Focused Assessment**
 - 10/01/2003
 -  doc - 42 KB.  pdf - 48 KB.
- **Exhibit 4D - See Exhibit 3G**
 - 10/01/2003

Accessed 9/25/2007

 doc - 19 KB.  pdf - 9 KB.



- **Exhibit 4E - Compliance Improvement Plan Framework**

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 36 KB.  pdf - 42 KB.

- **Exhibit 4F - A Guide for Supporting Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) Claims**

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 108 KB.  pdf - 233 KB.


- **Exhibit 4G - Not Used**

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 19 KB.  pdf - 9 KB.



- **Exhibit 4H - See Exhibit 3D**

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 19 KB.  pdf - 9 KB.



- **Exhibit 4I - Importer Quantification**

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 20 KB.  pdf - 14 KB.



- **Exhibit 5A - PAS Internal Control Overview**

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 24 KB.  pdf - 22 KB.



- **Exhibit 5B - Transaction Value - Technical Information for Pre-Assessment Survey (TIPS)**

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 170 KB.  pdf - 289 KB.



- **Exhibit 5C - Computed Value - Technical Information for Pre-Assessment Survey (TIPS)**

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 106 KB.  pdf - 221 KB.



- **Exhibit 5D - Classification - Technical Information for Pre-Assessment Survey (TIPS)**

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 106 KB.  pdf - 191 KB.



- **Exhibit 5E - HTSUS 9801.00.10 - U.S. Goods Returned - Technical Information for Pre-Assessment Survey (TIPS)**

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 167 KB.  pdf - 225 KB.



- **Exhibit 5F - HTSUS 9802.00.40 and 9802.00.50 - Articles Exported for Repairs or Alterations - Technical Information for Pre-Assessment Survey (TIPS)**

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 116 KB.  pdf - 217 KB.

- **Exhibit 5G - HTSUS 9802.00.60 - Metal Articles Previously Exported for Processing - Technical Information for Pre-Assessment Survey (TIPS)**

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 121 KB.  pdf - 217 KB.



Accessed 9/25/2007

- **Exhibit 5H - HTSUS 9802.00.80 - U.S. Articles Assembled Abroad - Technical Information for Pre-Assessment Survey (TIPS)**
- 10/01/2003
 doc - 162 KB.  pdf - 244 KB.
- **Exhibit 5I - HTSUS 9802.00.90 - U.S. Formed and Cut Textile Fabric Assembled in Mexico - Technical Information for Pre-Assessment Survey (TIPS)**
- 10/01/2003
 doc - 152 KB.  pdf - 248 KB.
- **Exhibit 5J - Anti-Dumping Duty/ Countervailing Duty (ADD/CVD) - Technical Information for Pre-Assessment Survey (TIPS)**
- 10/01/2003
 doc - 116 KB.  pdf - 187 KB.
- **Exhibit 5K-1 - Foreign Trade Zones (FTZ) - Manufacturing - Technical Information for Pre-Assessment Survey (TIPS)**
- 10/01/2003
 doc - 144 KB.  pdf - 239 KB.
- **Exhibit 5K-2 - Foreign Trade Zones (FTZ) - Petroleum - Technical Information for Pre-Assessment Survey (TIPS)**
- 10/01/2003
 doc - 170 KB.  pdf - 290 KB.
- **Exhibit 5L - Transshipment - Technical Information for Pre-Assessment Survey (TIPS)**
- 10/01/2003
 doc - 134 KB.  pdf - 266 KB.
- **Exhibit 5M - Generalized System of Preferences - Technical Information for Pre-Assessment Survey (TIPS)**
- 10/01/2003
 doc - 110 KB.  pdf - 205 KB.
- **Exhibit 5N - Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act & Caribbean Basin Trade Partnership Act - Technical Information for Pre-Assessment Survey (TIPS)**
- 10/01/2003
 doc - 119 KB.  pdf - 259 KB.
- **Exhibit 5O - Andean Trade Preference Act (APTA) - Technical Information for Pre-Assessment Survey (TIPS)**
- 10/01/2003
 doc - 122 KB.  pdf - 254 KB.
- **Exhibit 5P - Products of Insular Possessions - Technical Information for Pre-Assessment Survey (TIPS)**
- 10/01/2003
 doc - 118 KB.  pdf - 229 KB.
- **Exhibit 5Q - Israel Free Trade Act (IFTA) - Technical Information for Pre-Assessment Survey (TIPS)**
- 10/01/2003
 doc - 118 KB.  pdf - 249 KB.

Accessed 9/25/2007



- **Exhibit 5R - African Growth and Opportunity Act - Technical Information for Pre-Assessment Survey (TIPS)**

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 130 KB.  pdf - 273 KB.



- **Exhibit 5S - Quantity - Technical Information for Pre-Assessment Survey (TIPS)**

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 104 KB.  pdf - 193 KB.



- **Exhibit 5T - Reconciliation - Technical Information for Pre-Assessment Survey (TIPS)**

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 140 KB.  pdf - 257 KB.



- **Exhibit 5U - Intellectual Property Rights - Technical Information for Pre-Assessment Survey (TIPS)**

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 125 KB.  pdf - 243 KB.

- **Exhibit 5V - North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) - Technical Information for Pre-Assessment Survey (TIPS)**

- 10/01/2003

 doc - 167 KB.  pdf - 324 KB.

- **Exhibit 6A - Sampling Technical Guide**

- 10/31/2004

 doc - 30 KB.  pdf - 121 KB.



- **Exhibit 6A (Appendix I) - Sampling Steps**

- 10/31/2004

 doc - 89 KB.  pdf - 285 KB.

- **Exhibit 6A (Appendix II) - Sampling Methodology Diagrams**

- 10/31/2004

 doc - 144 KB.  pdf - 447 KB.

- **Exhibit 6A (Appendix III) - Sampling Methodology Table**

- 10/31/2004

 xls - 28 KB.  pdf - 85 KB.

- **Exhibit 6A (Appendix IV) - Sampling Plans**

- 10/31/2004

 xls - 126 KB.  pdf - 233 KB.

- **Exhibit 6A (Appendix V) - Example Audit Report Tables**

- 10/31/2004

 doc - 36 KB.  pdf - 131 KB.


- **Exhibit 6A (Appendix VI) - Glossary of Sampling Terms**

- 10/31/2004

 doc - 70 KB.  pdf - 124 KB.

- **Exhibit 6A (Appendix VII) - Reading List for Audit Sampling**

- 10/31/2004

 doc - 20 KB.  pdf - 49 KB.

Accessed 9/25/2007

How to
Use the Website

Newsroom * Border Security * Import * Export * Travel * Careers
Home * About CBP * Contacts * Ports * Questions * Forms
Publications * Legal * Contracting * Sitemap
EEO | FOIA | Privacy Statement | Get Plugins

Department of
Homeland Security

Featured RSS Links



U.S. Customs & Border Protection | 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20229 | (202) 354-1000

**NORTH AMERICAN FREE TRADE AGREEMENT (NAFTA)
TECHNICAL INFORMATION FOR PRE-ASSESSMENT SURVEY (TIPS)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART 1. BACKGROUND2

1.1 OVERVIEW2

1.2 AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT AUDITS.....2

1.3 RISK MANAGEMENT2

1.4 OBJECTIVE2

1.5 LEGAL AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS AND REFERENCES3

PART 2. PROGRAM GUIDANCE3

2.1 CLAIMS FOR NAFTA PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT3

2.2 EXAMPLES OF RED FLAGS4

 A. CATEGORY (1) Red Flags:.....5

 B. CATEGORY (2) Red Flags:.....6

2.3 EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICES6

2.4 EXAMPLES OF DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION TO REVIEW7

PART 3. RISK AND INTERNAL CONTROL GUIDANCE8

3.1 RISK8

 A. Preliminary Assessment of Risk8

 B. Evaluation of Risk Acceptability8

3.2 INTERNAL CONTROL8

3.3 EXTENSIVENESS OF AUDIT SAMPLE TESTS (TESTING LIMIT).....9

3.4 EVALUATION OF PRE-ASSESSMENT SURVEY TESTING RESULTS.....10

3.5 EXAMPLES11

PART 4. REPORT GUIDANCE14

PART 5. WORKSHEET FOR EVALUATING INTERNAL CONTROL (WEIC) – NAFTA16

PART 1. BACKGROUND

1.1 OVERVIEW

On December 17, 1992, President Bush of the United States, President Salinas of Mexico and Prime Minister Mulroney of Canada entered into the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA).

Public Law 103-182 (H.R. 3450); December 8, 1993 (107 STAT 2057) approved the North America Free Trade Agreement that was entered into by the United States, Canada and Mexico (the "Parties"); and the statement of administrative action to implement the Agreement. The NAFTA entered into force on January 1, 1994.

The NAFTA creates a free trade area consistent with Article XXIV of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) in which tariff and non-tariff barriers to trade are substantially reduced between the Parties. (NAFTA Article 101)

Trade between the U.S. and its two NAFTA partners account for one third of all U.S. international trade. The U.S. – Canada is the largest trading relationship between any two countries in the world. Recent trade statistics show that trade between the NAFTA Parties is valued at \$1.8 billion per day with 62% of that trade conducted under the NAFTA.

1.2 AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT AUDITS

Under 19 U.S.C. 1509 the U.S. Customs and Border Protection may examine records to ascertain the correctness of any entry for determining the liability of any person for duties, taxes or fees which may be due the United States or for ensuring compliance with the laws of the United States administered by the U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

Any person who imported or knowingly caused the importation of merchandise into the customs territory of the United States, exported merchandise, or knowingly caused the exportation of merchandise to a NAFTA country; must provide the records required by law or regulation to the U.S. U.S. Customs and Border Protection within a reasonable time after demand. [\(See 19 U.S.C. 1509\(a\)\(2\)\(A\)\(ii\)\)](#)

1.3 RISK MANAGEMENT

Customs performs its duty in an environment where decisions regarding the allocation of finite resources have become increasingly important. We define risk as the degree of exposure to the chance of non-compliance that would result in loss to the trade, industry or public. Risk management is the integrated process for identifying and managing risk in trade compliance.

1.4 OBJECTIVE

Provide guidance in performing a Pre-Assessment Survey (PAS) of the importer's internal controls for the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) and evaluating the results.

Note: The evaluation of the importer's internal controls for NAFTA is limited to a determination as to whether the Certificates of Origin as maintained by the importer are timely, accurate and sufficient. The importer's internal controls for NAFTA should address the records requirements to secure and maintain certificates of origin to support the importer's claims for NAFTA preferential treatment.

The Focused Assessment (FA) process does NOT include determining whether the goods referred to in the Certificates of Origin that are held by the importer actually qualify as originating goods under the

NAFTA. Determinations on the origination of the goods and their resulting eligibility for NAFTA preference are made exclusively through the NAFTA verification process.

The NAFTA evaluation should be limited to a determination as to whether the Certificates of Origin as maintained by the importer are accurate and support the NAFTA status of the imported goods. Unlike GSP where a question regarding content would result in a request from the exporter for supporting documents, under NAFTA supporting documents are not to be requested. However, where there is a question of origin or content, consideration should be made as to whether a referral should be prepared for follow-up by a NAFTA verification either through a port-initiated verification or a Joint Verification Team (JVT).

1.5 LEGAL AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS AND REFERENCES

Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards require the PAS team to obtain a sufficient understanding of internal controls to plan the audit and determine the nature, timing, and extent of tests to be performed.

Deleted: 4-24

The guidelines and the terms in this technical information guide are based on *Assessing Internal Controls in Performance Audits*, GAO/OP-4.1.4, published by the United States General Accounting Office, Office of Policy, September 1990; and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants *Statement on Auditing Standards No. 78*.

Chapter 3 of the NAFTA provides for preferential treatment for originating goods imported from another Party. A good is considered originating if it meets all of the requirements of the NAFTA Chapter 4 rules of origin. Customs procedures concerning claims for preferential treatment for originating goods are set out in Chapter 5 of the Agreement.

The Chapter 4 Rules of Origin are implemented by the Uniform Rules of Origin Regulations (Part 181, App. of the U.S. Customs Regulations [19 CFR 181.131]) and General Note 12 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States for imports into the United States. The Uniform Rules of Origin Regulations are trilateral regulations that have been incorporated into the domestic regulations of each of the Parties.

Customs Procedures of Chapter 5 of the NAFTA are implemented in the U.S. by Part 181 of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 181.1 through 181.122).

The Rules of Origin provided in Part 102 of the Customs Regulations are for the specific purposes of determining the country of origin for goods of NAFTA Parties. Determination of the country of origin is necessary for proper marking of the good and application of the correct staged rate of duty if the duty rate has not been phased out to zero. The rules of origin of Part 102 are not used to determine the originating status of goods.

General definitions applicable to the NAFTA are found in Chapter 2 of the Agreement and in Section 2 of the Rules of Origin Regulations (Part 181, App. of the U.S. Customs Regulations [19 CFR 181.131]). Definitions that are specific to a Chapter, Article or Annex of the Agreement are found at the end of the Chapter, Article or Annex.

PART 2. PROGRAM GUIDANCE

2.1 CLAIMS FOR NAFTA PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT

To claim NAFTA preferential treatment for imported goods the importer must:

1. Make a written declaration based on a valid certificate of origin (Art. 502 NAFTA; 19 CFR 181.21)
 - A written declaration may be made by entering the prefix "CA" or "MX" with the tariff number of originating goods on the CF 7501 entry summary

- Or at any time within one year of the date of importation using the provisions of 19 USC 1520(d)
 - When the importer makes a claim for preferential treatment, NAFTA originating goods are entitled to the duty rate in the "special" column that is indicated "CA" or "MX"
 - The merchandise processing fee is also waived for NAFTA qualifying merchandise
2. Possess a valid certificate of origin (CO) at the time of the declaration (Art. 502 NAFTA; 19 CFR 181.21; CD No. 3810-014, June 28, 1999)
- A valid CO:
 - ✓ Has the signature of the exporter or an authorized agent
 - ✓ Is dated and the date of execution is prior to the date of the NAFTA claim
 - ✓ Is in English or the language of the exporting Party (If in Spanish or French, the importer must provide a translation on request from USCS)
 - ✓ Is on Customs Form 434 or an approved alternative
 - A valid CO is required for each importation
 - Description provided on the CO is sufficient to allow an import specialist to identify the goods
 - A CO may be applicable to:
 - ✓ A single importation
 - ✓ Multiple importations of identical goods within a specified period up to one year (Blanket CO)
 - A CO is valid for 4 years from the date of signature
 - Policy Guidelines for the use of the NAFTA CO are established by Customs Directive No. 3810-014, dated June 28, 1999
 - ✓ A CO is valid provided that it is properly completed, signed and dated
 - ✓ If the importer did not possess a valid CO at the time the claim was made, the claim will be denied
 - ✓ A CO that contains inadequate information, is unsigned or is otherwise defective on its face is invalid
 - CO's that are "Otherwise defective" include those with: incorrect classifications, inadequate descriptions, missing date, wrong blanket period
 - The importer will be allowed at least 5 working days to submit a corrected CO
3. Maintain documentation in the United States, including the certificate of origin, relating to the importation of the good. (19 USC 1508, Art. 502 NAFTA; 19 CFR 181.22)
- Importer must maintain the CO for a period of 5 years from the date of importation
4. Provide the certificate of origin to Customs on request (19 USC 1509, Art. 502 NAFTA; 19 CFR 181.22)
5. Promptly make a corrected declaration when warranted (19 USC 1508, Art. 502 NAFTA; 19 CFR 181.22)

2.2 EXAMPLES OF RED FLAGS

The examples provided below may serve as indicators that there are potential compliance problems with the NAFTA claims being submitted by the importer.

Care must be exercised by the auditor to properly identify issues that are compliance problems with the importer's claims vs. the eligibility of the goods to qualify for preferential treatment because they originate in the NAFTA territory. Originating status of the goods can only be verified through the exporter using the NAFTA verification procedure.

The importer is not responsible to maintain documentation that will support the origination of the goods that is certified by the exporter on the NAFTA CO. A request from Customs for information to support the exporter's declaration on the CO will trigger a NAFTA verification and require the procedures that are formalized in the Agreement and the issuance of a determination.

Requesting information about the good from the importer for determining classification, value or admissibility; asking for the NAFTA CO; or asking the importer questions about the NAFTA claim that the importer would have direct knowledge does NOT trigger a verification. The importer may also voluntarily provide information furnished by the exporter or producer in accordance with 19 CFR 181.72(c).

While conducting a FA review the auditor may develop some information that would raise some "red flags" concerning the goods and whether they qualify as originating. These questions can only be addressed through the NAFTA verification process and the auditor should consider making a referral for verification to be conducted by an import specialist or a joint verification team.

The list of "red flags" below are divided into two categories: those listed in category (1) are conditions that may indicate a potential problem that can probably be addressed directly with the importer without the need for information that would be available only from the exporter or producer; the "red flags" listed in category (2) are more likely to necessitate exporter involvement and may need to be addressed outside of an FA; in these cases a referral for a NAFTA verification may be warranted.

A. CATEGORY (1) Red Flags:

- Importer has insufficiently documented, poorly defined, or no internal controls for accurately declaring NAFTA preferences for Customs purposes. Examples:
 - ✓ Importer does not monitor or interact with the broker on NAFTA eligibility issues
 - ✓ Importer relies on one employee to handle NAFTA compliance, and there are poor or no management checks or balances over this employee
 - ✓ Importer Customs staff lacks knowledge of NAFTA eligibility rules and requirements
- Importer offers unreasonable explanations to Customs
- Previous negative determinations, denials or failed verifications on the same merchandise being imported from the same supplier (Is there documentation that indicates that the importer was notified of production changes so that the good now qualifies? If not, is the importer reasonable in his reliance on the CO?)
- Importer fails to cooperate or respond to Customs
- Importer has high turnover of people in key positions
- There is significant variance between the importer's data and Customs data
- Customs (import specialist, account manager, compliance measurement, prior audit) shows history of problems with NAFTA claims (e.g., classification problems, inventory control problems for fungible goods, invalid or improperly completed certificates of origin, lacking a certificate of origin for a claim, reporting incorrect country of origin)
- One importer representative dominates NAFTA preference claims procedures and record keeping without monitoring or management oversight
- There is a large number of NAFTA Manufacturer ~~Identifications~~ (MIDs)
- There is a large quantity of NAFTA merchandise over many HTSUS numbers
- There is no monitoring of the classification procedure or records process that serve as the basis for the NAFTA preference claims
- There is a sharp increase of NAFTA imports from a prior period.
- The importer and the NAFTA producer are related
- The importer's reliance on the information certified in the certificate of origin is not reasonable (May be indicated if there are imports of NAFTA merchandise for which the exporting country is an unlikely source)

Deleted: Identification

- Specific issues are identified in the profile
- Importer did not request, maintain, or review Certificates of Origin (Customs form 434) supporting the qualification of merchandise for NAFTA preferential treatment
- The CO is incorrectly prepared i.e. the HTS information is not correct but can be corrected within the requirements of 19 CFR 181.22.
- The blanket CO is signed subsequent to the beginning of the blanket period claimed and the importer has declared NAFTA for imports of the good prior to the signed date.
- Patterns of "type 02" entries and entries with NAFTA claims vary inversely. For example, the merchandise that was previously subject to quotas was subsequently being claimed as NAFTA eligible and not subject to quota restrictions.
- There are significant shifts in importing practices and claims; for example:
 - ✓ Shifts from claims for benefits of HTSUS 9802 to claims for NAFTA preference
 - ✓ A sudden rise in NAFTA claims and corresponding decline in [tariff preference level \(TPL\)](#) claims
- The importer does not clearly differentiate or does not demonstrate an understanding of 9802 benefits vs. preference claims under NAFTA
- There are changes in classifications from one time frame to another for a considerable portion of an importer's imports (This may be especially significant if any of the merchandise is subject to quota or dumping/countervailing duties from non-NAFTA countries; or if there is a shift away from HTSUS numbers that are associated with complex rules of origin or require RVC calculations.)

B. CATEGORY (2) Red Flags:

(Conditions that may be more appropriately addressed outside of the FA process and may warrant referral for a NAFTA verification)

- There are imports from a specific exporter or under an HTSUS number or country of origin that have been identified by Customs because of known or suspected NAFTA problems
- There are imports of NAFTA merchandise for which the exporting country is an unlikely source
- There are no prior verifications of NAFTA exports from the importer's principal NAFTA suppliers
- There are imports of merchandise where the specific rule of origin provided in HTSUS General Note 12 is very restrictive, complicated, or difficult to meet
- There are imports of merchandise where the applicable specific rule of origin has specific requirements, or requires that certain components originate
- The alternate Normal Trade Relations (NTR) duty rate for the merchandise imported is relatively very high
- There are restrictions imposed on imports of the merchandise from other countries, but not from the NAFTA Parties (e.g. dumping or countervailing duties, visa requirements, quota restrictions, trade sanctions).
- The exporter preparing the CO is not the producer of the good but rather a middleman or warehouse.
- The compliance measurement discrepancy rates are high for HTSUS numbers that importer frequently uses regarding NAFTA; or there are no verifications of the HTSUS numbers
- There are imports from a specific exporter or under an HTSUS number or country of origin that have been identified by Customs because of known or suspected NAFTA problems

2.3 EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICES

(Applicable only to the Importer filing claims for NAFTA preference.)

- The importer's Internal controls over NAFTA claims:

- ✓ Are in writing,
- ✓ Include procedures for monitoring and feedback, and
- ✓ Are monitored by management
- One manager is ultimately responsible for control of the Import Department, including NAFTA eligible merchandise. That manager has knowledge of Customs matters and the power to ensure that internal control procedures for imports are established and followed by all importer departments
- Written internal control procedures assign NAFTA duties and tasks to a position rather than a person
- Importer has good interdepartmental communication about NAFTA matters
- Importer conducts and documents periodic reviews of NAFTA, and uses the results to make corrections past and present to entries and changes to its import operations as appropriate
- Suppliers, as well as other departments within the importer's organization such as engineering and purchasing, provide sufficient descriptions of merchandise to the import department to permit accurate classification and resulting determination of NAFTA eligibility
- The importer's internal controls contain prudent business practices (such as designating the material supplier for the NAFTA goods) that are meant to ensure that the importer can reasonably rely on certifications provided by the exporter. E.g. Because of product liability and business arrangements many auto parts producers are required to use customer approved material suppliers)
- The importer's internal controls involve a verification process to determine that the imported merchandise qualifies for NAFTA
- The importer has procedures to obtain certificates of origin from all NAFTA suppliers prior to the initial import date of any of the merchandise covered by the CO
- Internal controls ensure that the CO and related documents are maintained by the importer for the five year required period
- Importer has procedures in place to furnish Customs copies of applicable certificates of origin when requested
- Importer maintains a NAFTA database or listing of imported merchandise that would readily identify transactions that claim NAFTA preference
- The importer (or the importer's agent) visits the plant in the NAFTA country where the products are produced
- The importer performs an annual review of specific rules of origin (General Note 12 of the HTSUSA) that apply to imported merchandise to remain current with any changes to NAFTA requirements.
- The Importer communicates regularly with the filer to keep the filer's information current on what merchandise is NAFTA eligible and which is not.

2.4 EXAMPLES OF DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION TO REVIEW

- Internal control policies and procedures used to ensure the validity of NAFTA certificates of origin; they should assure that:
 - ✓ Valid CO's are in importer's possession prior to making NAFTA claim
 - ✓ CO's are signed and dated prior to date of importation
 - ✓ CO's pertain (HTS # and description match) to the merchandise imported and claimed for NAFTA
 - ✓ CO's cover each importation on which NAFTA preference was claimed
- Importer's response to the questionnaire
- Interviews with importer staff concerning general internal controls and internal controls specific to NAFTA claims
- Importer's documentation that supports monitoring and verification of established and/or written internal controls for NAFTA, including:
 - ✓ Communications between the person responsible for monitoring NAFTA eligibility and the entry filer
 - ✓ Binding rulings concerning NAFTA eligibility

- ✓ Classification rulings for NAFTA merchandise
- ✓ Invoices, specification sheets, or other documents providing detailed descriptions of NAFTA merchandise
- ✓ Lists containing NAFTA part numbers, descriptions, quantities imported, and unit costs
- ✓ Bills of lading or other evidence of direct transport to the United States
- ✓ Previous positive determinations for the same merchandise
- ✓ Communications between the importer and the exporter concerning NAFTA eligibility of the merchandise.

PART 3. RISK AND INTERNAL CONTROL GUIDANCE

PAS team judgment should be used to determine the type and amount of testing needed to evaluate the effectiveness of internal controls and to determine if there is a sufficient risk to warrant proceeding to the Assessment Compliance Testing (ACT) phase.

Using the chart and guidelines below, determine through limited judgmental testing whether the importer's internal controls are effective.

To determine the extensiveness of internal control testing, it is necessary to evaluate:

1. The **risk exposure**, and
2. The **internal control** system by determining if the controls are in operation, how the controls were applied, how consistently they were applied, and who applied them.

3.1 RISK

A. Preliminary Assessment of Risk

Before any audit work begins at the company the team should make a preliminary assessment of risk (PAR) using information obtained from Customs or publicly available information. The purpose of the PAR is to evaluate identified potential risks to Customs based on analytical reviews of Customs data and other Customs information. This review will identify areas of potential risk and eliminate some areas with insignificant risk. The PAR should be conducted using the form in Attachment 1 to the PAS Audit Program.

B. Evaluation of Risk Acceptability

After the audit work begins with the company the team will refine the assessment of risk. After all audit work has been completed the team will determine whether risk is acceptable or unacceptable using the PAS Audit Program as summarized in the following steps.

- Determine what activities pose a significant risk to Customs.
- Test the existence, effectiveness and implementation of internal control and determine if internal control is adequate to control risk.
- Using the results of the internal control review, develop and opinion whether risk is acceptable or unacceptable.

3.2 INTERNAL CONTROL

To evaluate the internal control system:

1. Consider the five components of internal control:

- Control Environment
 - Risk Assessment
 - Control Activities
 - Information and Communication
 - Monitoring
2. Review relevant Customs and importer documents to identify and understand relevant internal controls over NAFTA. (Examples of documents and information to review are listed above.)
 3. Determine whether the importer has established and follows procedures. Review:
 - Documentary evidence of the results of periodic internal control reviews/testing and corrective action implemented.
 - Documentary evidence (such as a log) of communication with the broker and importer departments on NAFTA issues, including Importer testing of broker operations and verification that the broker followed importer instructions.
 - Importer-specific NAFTA rulings requested. Determine if they are followed.
 - Documentary evidence of internal communications, to ensure that correct information is provided to Customs.
 - Training records and materials relating to NAFTA used to educate staff on Customs matters.
 4. Review written policies and procedures and interview applicable importer personnel to complete appropriate sections of the "Worksheet for Evaluating Internal Control (WEIC) - NAFTA."

Note: The internal control assessment should include steps to:

- Identify and understand internal controls
- Determine what is already known about control effectiveness
- Assess the adequacy of internal control design
- Determine whether controls are implemented and effective
- Determine whether transaction processes are documented

3.3 EXTENSIVENESS OF AUDIT SAMPLE TESTS (TESTING LIMIT)

The purpose of limited PAS testing is to take a survey in order to determine the necessity for and extent of substantive tests. In some circumstances, the PAS team may decide that it probably will not be able to form an opinion based on limited PAS testing. In that case, it may be necessary to proceed immediately to the ACT process. If the PAS team believes that it can form an opinion based on limited PAS testing, it should test the appropriate number of controls and associated transactions using the table below. Tests may be appropriate for various areas below the total NAFTA level that will be reported on. For example, the importer may import from several NAFTA suppliers, but testing may be necessary only for certain companies or only for certain imports that have been identified as the primary risks.

Deleted: on which compliance will be

Extensiveness of Audit Tests

| PAR Level | + | Preliminary Review/ Internal Control | = | Extensiveness of Audit Test | Testing Limit |
|-----------|---|---|---|--------------------------------|------------------|
|-----------|---|---|---|--------------------------------|------------------|

| PAR Level + | Preliminary Review/ Internal Control = | Extensiveness of Audit Test | Testing Limit |
|-----------------|---|---|------------------|
| High | Weak Adequate Strong | High Moderate to High Low to Moderate | 10-20 |
| Moderate | Weak Adequate Strong | Moderate to High Moderate Low | 5-15 |
| Low | Weak Adequate Strong | Low to Moderate Low Very Low | 1-10 |

Source: Adapted from *Assessing Internal Controls in Performance Audits*.
Column titled "Testing Limit" reflects Customs test sizes.

3.4 EVALUATION OF PRE-ASSESSMENT SURVEY TESTING RESULTS

The following steps are guidance for determining the effectiveness of importer's internal control over NAFTA claims.

1. Complete the "Worksheet for Evaluating Internal Control (WEIC) - NAFTA" to determine whether risk determination is acceptable or unacceptable and document why. Put results of NAFTA testing in perspective and evaluate confirmed weakness as a whole. The evaluation should consider the results of the internal control testing, problems identified in the profile, and/or concerns raised by the import specialist or account manager. The team must evaluate the PAS results based on the specific situations.

Customs considers risk unacceptable when testing reveals that internal controls were not sufficient or effective in providing reasonable assurance that accurate, timely, and complete declarations are reported to Customs.

2. The following will assist the PAS team in determining whether conditions warrant proceeding to ACT:

Do not proceed to ACT if:

- Cost-benefit analysis warrants no further effort, (do not spend a significant amount of resources to identify a potential loss of revenue considered insignificant.) and
- The result of review indicated that the error was due to an isolated incident.
- If substantive tests necessary to determine a compliance rate or revenue loss can be performed quickly and without extensive effort, the team should immediately perform the substantive tests without proceeding to ACT.

Proceed to ACT if:

- The company does not have an adequate internal control and the review indicated a material loss of revenue that cannot be quantified without statistical sampling or further review.
- The importer will not quantify the loss of revenue.
- The company refuses to take corrective action on systemic errors and it is necessary to calculate a compliance rate to evidence significant non-compliance.

Note: If substantive tests necessary to determine a compliance rate or revenue loss can be performed quickly and without extensive effort, the team should immediately perform the substantive tests without proceeding to ACT.

3. Determine whether referrals should be made for enforcement action.

3.5 EXAMPLES

The following examples of scenarios that may be encountered under PAS are provided for clarification purposes only.

Note: Where there are multiple importations of the same merchandise from the same exporter, the importer will most often utilize a blanket CO issued by the exporter to cover imports for a period of one year. The time period where the risk is highest that the importer will not be in possession of a valid CO when claims are made is early in the blanket period or the beginning of the fiscal year.

Example A: Situation in which the team would not proceed to ACT (Revenue)

The importer has internal controls for NAFTA. The internal controls include:

- ✓ Contractual provisions in which the exporter agrees to provide certificates of origin for NAFTA in a timely manner and that specifically identify the goods that are eligible for NAFTA preferential treatment.
- ✓ Provide for reviews of foreign facilities to verify foreign production in the NAFTA country of production and maintenance of documentary information to support importer reviews and testing of NAFTA eligibility, or other basis to reasonably rely on the exporter's statements of eligibility.

In order to determine the importer's internal control effectiveness, the PAS team evaluated the importer's internal control procedures. Specifically, tests of NAFTA claims were supported by valid certificates of origin in the importer's possession except for one item that was imported on multiple entries throughout the year.

The importer imports multiple products from the exporter who provided a blanket certificate of origin covering multiple products. One product was not included in any certificate of origin provided by the exporter.

The importer agreed to quantify and pay duties on the merchandise for which there was no valid certificate of origin and to modify his internal controls to assure that a valid certificate is in his possession prior to making a NAFTA claim.

Since there were no other revenue issues and correction was made to avoid future problems, the team does not proceed to ACT for revenue.

Example B: Situation in which the team would not proceed to ACT (Compliance)

The importer has internal controls for NAFTA. The internal controls include:

